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#### Abstract

The well-known finite Hughes planes have compact analoga with 16 -dimensional point space. The automorphism group of such a plane is a 36 -dimensional Lie group. Theorem: Assume that the compact projective plane $\mathscr{P}$ is not isomorphic to the classical Moufang plane over the octonions. Let $\Delta$ be a closed subgroup of Aut $\mathscr{P}$. If $\operatorname{dim} \Delta \geqq 31$ and if $\Delta$ has a normal torus subgroup, then $\mathscr{P}$ is a Hughes plane, $\Delta=\operatorname{Aut} \mathscr{P}$, and $\Delta^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{PSL}_{3} \mathbb{H}$.


A finite Hughes plane $\mathscr{F}$ is a projective plane of order $n^{2}$ having a Desarguesian subplane $\mathscr{E}$ of order $n$ such that each linear collineation of $\mathscr{E}$ is induced by an automorphism of $\mathscr{F}$, compare Lüneburg [3]. Similarly, a compact 16-dimensional topological projective plane $\mathscr{H}$ with automorphism group $\Sigma$ is called a Hughes plane if $\mathscr{H}$ has a $\Sigma$-invariant subplane $\mathscr{E}$ isomorphic to the classical Desarguesian quaternion plane $\mathscr{P}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ such that $\Sigma$ induces on $\mathscr{E}$ the full automorphism group $\mathrm{PSL}_{3} \mathbb{H}$. There exist infinitely many non-isomorphic 16-dimensional Hughes planes, see [8, § 86]. These and their 8-dimensional analoga play a prominent role in the classification of compact, connected planes with an automorphism group of sufficiently large dimension, compare [8, Chap. 8, Introduction] and Theorem S below.

In the following, $\mathscr{P}=(P, \mathfrak{R})$ will always denote a topological projective plane with compact, 16-dimensional point space $P$. Taken with the compact-open topology, the automorphism group $\Sigma=$ Aut $\mathscr{P}$ is a locally compact transformation group of $P$, and $\Sigma$ has a countable basis [8, 44.3, p. 237]. Let $\Delta$ be a connected closed (hence locally compact) subgroup of $\Sigma$. If the topological dimension $\operatorname{dim} \Delta \geqq 27$, then $\Delta$ is even a Lie group (Priwitzer-Salzmann [6]).

Theorem S. Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is not the classical Moufang plane and that $\Delta$ is semi-simple. If $28<\operatorname{dim} \Delta<36$, then $\Delta \cong \mathrm{SL}_{3} \mathbb{H}$, and $\mathscr{P}$ is a Hughes plane.

Proof. Priwitzer [5] and Hähl [2].
Here, a related characterization will be given:

Theorem T. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be as above, and assume that $\Delta$ has a normal torus subgroup $\Theta \cong \mathbb{T}$. If $\operatorname{dim} \Delta>28$ and if the involution $\iota \in \Theta$ is not a reflection, or if $\operatorname{dim} \Delta>30$, then $\Theta$ fixes $a$ Baer subplane, $\Delta^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{SL}_{3} \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathscr{P}$ is a Hughes plane.

Proof of the first part. (a) Since $\Delta$ is connected and Aut $\Theta$ is finite, the normal subgroup $\Theta$ is contained in the center $Z$ of $\Delta$, compare [8, 93.19]. In particular, $\iota \in Z$.
(b) By assumption, $\iota$ is not a reflection, and [8,55.29] shows that the fixed elements of $\iota$ form a Baer subplane $\mathscr{E}$.
(c) $\iota \in Z$ implies $\mathscr{E}^{\Delta}=\mathscr{E}$. Let $\Delta^{*}=\left.\Delta\right|_{\mathscr{E}} \cong \Delta / \Phi$ be the effective action of $\Delta$ on $\mathscr{E}$, and consider its kernel $\Phi=\Delta_{[\mathscr{E}]}$. By the result mentioned above, $\Delta$ and $\Phi$ are Lie groups. From [8, 83.22] it follows that $\Phi$ is compact and that $\operatorname{dim} \Phi \leqq 3$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dim} \Delta^{*}>25$, and $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathscr{P}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ by [8, 84.27]. Moreover, the center of $\Delta^{*}$ is trivial [8, 84.10], and $\Theta$ is contained in the connected component $\Phi^{1}$ of $\Phi$. This excludes the possibility $\Phi^{1} \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{3} \mathbb{R}$ and shows that $\Theta=\Phi^{1}$, see $[8,83.22]$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \Delta^{*}>27$, and $\Delta^{*}$ fixes no element of $\mathscr{E}$. The second part of [8, 84.27] now gives $\Delta^{*} \cong$ PSL $_{3} \mathbb{H}$.
(d) According to [8, 94.27], the group $\Delta$ has a subgroup $\Gamma$ locally isomorphic to the simple group $\Delta^{*}$, and Theorem S may be applied to $\Gamma$. This shows that $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{SL}_{3} \mathbb{H}$ and that $\mathscr{P}$ is a Hughes plane. Finally, $\Delta=\Gamma \Theta$ implies that $\Gamma=\Delta^{\prime}$ is the commutator group of $\Delta$.

Proof of the second part. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \Delta>30$ and that the involution $\iota \in \Theta$ is a reflection with axis $W$ and center $a \notin W$. Again $\iota \in Z$ and hence $W^{\Delta}=W$. Moreover, $\Delta$ is a Lie group. The dimension formula

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Delta=\operatorname{dim} x^{4}+\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{x}
$$

will be used repeatedly, see [8, 96.10].
The following theorem of Bödi [1] plays an essential role:
(0) If the fixed elements of a connected Lie group $\Lambda$ form a connected subplane $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}$, then $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to the compact 14-dimensional group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, or $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3} \mathbb{C}$, or $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<8$.
(1) $\Theta$ acts trivially on $W$ and consists of homologies with center $a$.

Otherwise, $z^{\Theta} \neq z$ for some $z \in W$. Let $x \in a z \backslash\{a, z\}$, and consider the connected component $\Lambda$ of the stabilizer $\Delta_{x}$. Because $\Theta \leqq Z$, the fixed subplane $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}$ contains the connected orbit $z^{\Theta}$ and hence is itself connected. The dimension formula together with (0) gives $\operatorname{dim} \Delta \leqq 16+14$, a contradiction.

By combining (0) and (1) we get
(2) If $\Lambda$ fixes any quadrangle, then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq 8$.

We may assume, in fact, that $\Lambda$ is connected. If $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{G}_{2}$, then $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}$ would be a 2-dimensional subplane [8, 83.24], but such a plane does not admit a torus group of homologies.
(2') No subgroup of $\Delta$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{G}_{2}$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{G}_{2} \cong \Upsilon<\Delta$. All involutions in $\Upsilon$ are conjugate [8, 11.31(d)], and there are commuting involutions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\Upsilon$. These are either reflections or Baer involutions [8, 55.29]. In the first case, one of $\alpha, \beta$, or $\alpha \beta$ would have axis $W$ and would coincide with $\iota$, see [ $8,55.35$ and 32 (ii)], but $\iota \nsubseteq \Upsilon$ because $G_{2}$ is simple [8, 11.32]. Hence every involution in $\Upsilon$ is planar, and from [8, 55.39 and Note 6] it follows that $W \approx \mathbb{S}_{8}$. Repeated application of
[8, 96.35] shows that $\Upsilon$ fixes a quadrangle and, in fact, a 2 -dimensional subplane. This is impossible by (2).

Four cases will be treated separately: (i) $\Delta$ is transitive on $W$, (ii) $\Delta$ has a fixed point $v \in W$, (iii) $\Delta$ is doubly transitive on some orbit $V \subset W$, or (iv) $\Delta$ has none of these properties. The last case will turn out to be the most difficult one.
(3) The group $\Omega=\Delta_{[a, W]}$ of homologies with axis $W$ has dimension $\operatorname{dim} \Omega \leqq 2$, and $\Delta$ induces on $W$ a group $\Delta / \Omega$ of dimension at least 29 .

Proof. Let $\Psi$ be a maximal compact subgroup of the connected component $\Omega^{1}$. Then $\Omega^{1}=\Psi$ or $\Omega^{1} \cong \Psi \times \mathbb{R}$ by $[8,61.2]$. The compact Lie group $\Psi$ does not contain commuting involutions and hence has torus rank at most 1, see [8, 55.32(ii) or 35]. From (1) follows $\Theta \unlhd \Psi$ and $\Psi \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{3}$. This leaves only the possibility $\Psi=\Theta$.
(4) If $\Delta$ is transitive on $W$, then $W \approx \mathbb{S}_{8}$, see $[8,52.3$ and 96.14$]$. A maximal compact subgroup $\Phi$ of $\Delta$ is also transitive on $W$ by [8, 96.19], and $\left.\Phi\right|_{W} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{9}$, compare [8, 96.22]. According to [8, 94.27], the group $\Delta$ contains a covering group $H$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{9}$, but then $\Phi \geqq H \Theta$ would have torus rank $>4$. This contradicts [8,55.37], and case (i) is impossible.
(5) Lemma. Assume that $G$ is a locally compact, connected transitive transformation group of $S \approx \mathbb{S}_{8} \backslash\{a, b\}$. Consider a maximal compact subgroup $K$ of $G$ and the stabilizer $H=G_{c}$ of some point $c \in S$. If $H \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3} \mathbb{C}$, then $K \cong \mathrm{SU}_{4} \mathbb{C}$.

The proof depends on the exact homotopy sequence

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \pi_{q+1} S \rightarrow \pi_{q} H \rightarrow \pi_{q} G \rightarrow \pi_{q} S \rightarrow \pi_{q-1} H \rightarrow \ldots
$$

for the action of $G$ on $S$, see [8, 96.12]. Note that $G$ is a Lie group by [8, 96.14], and that there are homotopy equivalences $S \simeq \mathbb{S}_{7}$ and $G \simeq K$; the second one follows from the Mal'cevIwasawa theorem [8, 93.10]. Up to $q=8$ (and beyond), the homotopy groups of $S$ and of all compact simple Lie groups are known, compare the remarks preceding 94.36 in [8]. We have $\pi_{q} S=0$ for $q<7$ and $\pi_{7} S \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The homotopy sequence gives $\pi_{q} K \cong \pi_{q} H$ for $q \leqq 5$. In particular, $\pi_{1} K=0$ and, therefore, $K$ is semi-simple [8, 94.31(c)]. Whenever $C$ is compact and almost simple, then $\pi_{3} C \cong \mathbb{Z}$, see [8, 94.36]. Hence $\pi_{3} K \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $K$ is even almost simple. The dimension formula shows that $8 \leqq \operatorname{dim} K \leqq 16$. Because of ( $2^{\prime}$ ), only the groups $\pi_{q} C$ with $C \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3}, \mathrm{SU}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ are actually needed; these can be found in Mimura [4, §3.2]. Generally, $\pi_{5} C \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $C$ is locally isomorphic to a group $\mathrm{SU}_{n} \mathbb{C}$ with $n>2$. Moreover, $\pi_{6} H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and $\pi_{7} H=0$. The exact sequence

$$
\pi_{7} H \rightarrow \pi_{7} K \rightarrow \pi_{7} \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \pi_{6} H
$$

shows that $\pi_{7} K \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $K \cong \mathrm{SU}_{4} \mathbb{C}$.
We are now able to deal with case (ii).
(6) If $v^{\Delta}=v \in W$, then $\Delta$ is transitive on $W \backslash\{v\}$.

Proof. Let $v \neq z \in W$. Together with (2), the dimension formula implies first $z^{4} \neq z$ and then $31-2 \cdot \operatorname{dim} z^{4} \leqq 8+8$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} z^{4}=8$, and $z^{4}$ is open in $W$ by [8, 96.11]. Because $W \backslash\{v\}$ is connected, the assertion follows.
(7) If $v^{\Delta}=v \in W$, then $\Delta$ is even doubly transitive on $W \backslash\{v\}$.

Proof. Let $\nabla=\Delta_{u}$ for some $u \in W, u \neq v$, and note that $23 \leqq \operatorname{dim} \nabla \leqq 24$. If $\nabla$ is not transitive on $W \backslash\{u, v\}$, then, by similar arguments as in (6), there is a 7-dimensional orbit $z^{\nabla} \subset W$, and $\nabla_{z}$ is transitive on $S=a v \backslash\{a, v\}$. From (0), (2), and (5) we conclude that a maximal subgroup $\Phi$ of $\nabla_{z}$ must be isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{4} \mathbb{C}$, but $\Theta \triangleleft \Phi$, a contradiction.
(8) Remarks. All locally compact doubly transitive transformation groups $(\Gamma, M)$ have been determined by Tits [9]. Either $\Gamma$ is simple and $M$ is a projective space or a sphere, or $M \approx \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\Gamma$ is an extension of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ by a transitive subgroup $G \leqq \mathrm{GL}_{k} \mathbb{R}$, compare $[8,96.15-23]$. A convenient description of the possibilities for $G$ can be found in Völklein [10]. The group $G$ has an almost simple normal subgroup $H$ which is transitive on the $(k-1)$-sphere $S$ consisting of the rays in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and a maximal compact subgoup $K$ of $H$ is also transitive on $S$, see [8, 96.19]. It is now easy to detect the possible groups $H$ among the irreducible representations of almost simple Lie groups [8, 95.10], and $G$ is contained in the product of $H$ and its centralizer. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} G / H \leqq 4$, even $\leqq 2$ if $\mathrm{Cs} H \cong \mathbb{H}$.
(9) If $u^{\Delta}=W \backslash\{v\}$ and $\nabla=\Delta_{u}$, then $\nabla$ is an almost direct product of the solvable radical $\sqrt{\nabla}$ and a group $\Psi \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By (2) and (3), the effective group $\Upsilon=\left.\nabla\right|_{W} \cong \nabla / \Omega$ satisfies $21 \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Upsilon \leqq 23$, and $\Upsilon$ has no subgroup locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spin}_{7}$ by ( $2^{\prime}$ ). With the remarks (8) it follows that the commutator subgoup $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the simply connected group $\mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{C}$. The center $Z$ of $\Upsilon$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$, and $\Upsilon=\Upsilon^{\prime} Z$. The group $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ is covered by a normal subgoup $\Psi$ of $\nabla$.

A maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ and does not contain $\mathrm{SU}_{3} \mathbb{C}$. Hence (0) and (9) imply
(10) Corollary. If $u^{\Lambda}=W \backslash\{v\}$, and if $\Lambda$ fixes a quadrangle, then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq 7$.
(11) If $u^{\Delta}=W \backslash\{v\}$ and $c \in a v \backslash\{a, v\}$, then $\Delta_{c}$ is doubly transitive on $W \backslash\{v\}$ and $\Gamma=\Delta_{c, u} \cong \mathrm{SL}_{2} \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. Let $u \neq z \in u^{\Delta}$. By (10) and the dimension formula, we have

$$
15 \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Gamma=\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{z}+\operatorname{dim} z^{\Gamma} \leqq 7+8
$$

and $\operatorname{dim} z^{\Gamma}=8$. Hence each orbit $z^{\Gamma}$ is open in $W$ and $\Gamma$ is transitive on $W \backslash\{u, v\}$ by the arguments of (6). The last assertion follows with the remarks (8).

Because of Levi's Theorem [8, 94.28], we conclude from (9) and (11) that $\mathrm{SL}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ must be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{C}$. There are several ways to show that this is impossible. A simple reason is the following: both groups have $\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ as maximal compact subgroups, but these are even maximal among all subgroups [8, 94.34]. More generally, Tits [9, Th. IV B.3.3] has determined all large maximal subgroups of the classical simple Lie groups. Thus, case (ii) has finally led to a contradiction.

All actions of $\Delta$ on $W$ having only fixed points and 8-dimensional orbits are covered by (i) and (ii). Hence we may assume in case (iii) that $\Delta$ is doubly transitive on some orbit $V \subset W$ with $0<\operatorname{dim} V=k<8$. Let $u, v, w \in V$, and denote the connected component of the
stabilizer $\Delta_{u, v, w}$ by $\Xi$. From (2) and the dimension formula we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \Xi \leqq 16$ and then $31 \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Delta \leqq 3 k+16$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dim} V \geqq 5$. If $V \approx \mathbb{R}^{k}$, then $\Delta_{u, v}$ fixes a 1 -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and we get even $2 k \geqq 31-16$, a contradiction. Thus, $V$ is compact and $\left.\Delta\right|_{V}$ is simple by (8). If $V$ is a projective space, then $\Delta_{u, v}$ fixes the (real or complex) line through $u$ and $v$, and $\operatorname{dim} \Delta \leqq 2 k+2+\operatorname{dim} \Xi$. This implies $V \approx \mathrm{P}_{7} \mathbb{R}$ and $\left.\Delta\right|_{V} \cong \operatorname{PSL}_{8} \mathbb{R}$, see [8, 96.17]. But then $\operatorname{dim} \Delta>63$ would be to large. By (8) or [8, 96.17] we have
(12) If $\Delta$ is doubly transitive on $V \subset W$, then $V$ is homeomorphic to a sphere $\mathbb{S}_{k}$ with $5 \leqq k \leqq 7$.

Because $k>4$, the kernel $\Phi$ of the action of $\Delta$ on $v^{\Delta}=V$ acts freely on $a v \backslash\{a, v\}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \Phi \leqq 8,\left.\operatorname{dim} \Delta\right|_{V} \geqq 23$. By [8, 96.19 and 23], each transitive group on $\mathbb{S}_{6}$ contains $G_{2}$, and (2') shows that $k \neq 6$. Therefore, only one possibility of the list [8, 96.17(b)] remains:
(13) If $\Delta$ is doubly transitive on $V \subset W$, then $V \approx \mathbb{S}_{7}$ and $\left.\Delta\right|_{V} \cong \operatorname{PSU}_{5}(\mathbb{C}, 1)$.

If $\Delta$ is as in (13), then $\Delta$ contains an almost simple subgroup $\Psi$ which is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{5}(\mathbb{C}, 1)$, see $[8,94.27]$. The kernel $\Phi=\Delta_{[V]}$ has dimension 7 or 8 , and each representation of $\Psi$ on the Lie algebra of $\Phi$ is trivial [8, 95.10]. Hence $\Phi \leqq \mathrm{Cs}_{\Delta} \Psi$. The group $\Psi$ has torus rank rk $\Psi \geqq 3$. By [8, 55. 29 and 35], there exist involutions $\alpha, \omega \in \Psi$ such that $\omega$ is planar, $\alpha$ is not the reflection with axis $W$, and $\alpha \omega=\omega \alpha$. Then $\alpha$ induces on the fixed plane $\mathscr{F}_{\omega}$ either a reflection or a Baer involution. The common fixed point set $C=F_{\alpha, \omega}$ is 4-dimensional, and $\Phi$ acts freely on some orbit $c^{\Phi} \subset C$, but $\operatorname{dim} \Phi \geqq 7$. This contradiction finally excludes case (iii).

The general case (iv). Again, there is an orbit $v^{\Delta}=V \subset W$ with $0<\operatorname{dim} V<8$. Let $v \neq u \in V$, and consider the connected components $\Gamma$ of $\Delta_{v}$ and $\nabla$ of $\Gamma_{u}$.
(14) The orbit $u^{\Gamma}=U$ is a 6 -dimensional connected manifold.

Proof. $u^{\Gamma} \approx \Gamma / \Gamma_{u}$ is a connected manifold [8, 94.3(a)]. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} U=m<6$. Choose $w \in U \backslash\{u\}$ and $c \in a v \backslash\{a, v\}$, and denote the connected component of $\nabla_{c, w}$ by $\Lambda$. The dimension formula gives $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda \geqq 31-7-8-2 m \geqq 6$, and (2) implies $m \geqq 4$. By [8, 83.22] and because $\Theta$ is a torus group of homologies, the fixed elements of $\Lambda$ form a 4dimensional subplane $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}=\mathscr{F}$. Choose $z \in U \backslash \mathscr{F}$. Then $\Lambda_{z} \neq \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda_{z}}=\langle\mathscr{F}, z\rangle$ is a Baer subplane. From [8, 83.9] it follows that $\Lambda$ is compact. In fact, $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3}$ or $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, see Salzmann [7, (2.1)]. In the second case, $\Lambda$ contains a central involution $\eta$, and $\Lambda$ induces a group $\Lambda / K$ on the Baer subplane $\mathscr{F}_{\eta}$. Now $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda / K \leqq 1$ by [8, 83.11], and $\operatorname{dim} K \leqq 3$ by [ $8,83.22$ ]. This contradiction shows that $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3}$. For a point $z$ as above, [8, 83.22] implies $\Lambda_{z} \cong \mathrm{SU}_{2}$ and $z^{\Lambda} \approx \mathbb{S}_{5}$. Hence $m=5$ and $z^{4}$ is open and closed in $U$, compare [8, 92.14 or 96.11(a)]. Because $U$ is connected, $\Lambda$ must be transitive on $U$, but $z^{\Lambda} \subseteq U \backslash \mathscr{F} \neq U$.
(15) If $c \in S=a v \backslash\{a, v\}$, then $\operatorname{dim} \nabla_{c} \leqq 10$.

Proof. Note that $\Gamma_{c}$ acts effectively on $U$ and that $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{c} \leqq 20$ by (14) and (2). If $\Gamma_{c}$ is doubly transitive on $U$, then the remarks (8) and [8, 96.16 and 17] show that $U \approx \mathbb{R}^{6}$. Moreover, a maximal semi-simple subgroup of $\Gamma_{c}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \nabla_{c} \leqq 10$. Assume now that $\operatorname{dim} \nabla_{c}>10$, and let $\Pi$ denote the connected component of $\nabla_{c}$. Then $\Gamma_{c}$ is not doubly transitive on $U$, and there is some $w \in U \backslash\{u\}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} w^{\Pi}<6$. The connected component $\Lambda$ of $\Pi_{w}$ satisfies $6 \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq 8$, and $\operatorname{dim} w^{\Pi}>2$. As is the proof of
step (14) it follows that $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}$ is 4-dimensional, that $\Lambda_{z} \neq \mathbb{1}$ for $z \in w^{\Pi} \backslash \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}$, and that $\Lambda$ is compact. As before, $\Lambda \cong \mathrm{SU}_{3}$ and $z^{\Lambda} \approx \mathrm{S}_{5}$. Again $\Lambda$ would be transitive on the connected manifold $w^{\Pi}$, an obvious contradiction.
(16) Corollary. $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=31$ and $\nabla$ is transitive on $S$.

The same technique as in the proof of Lemma (5) can now be applied. However, only the dimension of the stabilizer $\nabla_{c}=\Pi$ is known, but neither the structure nor the topology of $\Pi$, and there are several distinct possibilities. Consider maximal compact subgroups $\Psi$ of $\Pi$ and $\Phi$ of $\nabla$ with $\Psi \leqq \Phi$ and the respective semi-simple commutator subgroups $\Psi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$. Because $\nabla$ is not compact and $\Theta$ is normal in $\Phi$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime} \leqq 16$. The group $\Psi^{\prime}$ is a product of 3-dimensional factors, or $\Psi^{\prime}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$, or $\Psi^{\prime}=\Pi \cong \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H} \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{5}$. The exact homotopy sequence for the action of $\nabla$ on $S$ becomes

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \pi_{q+1} S \rightarrow \pi_{q} \Psi \rightarrow \pi_{q} \Phi \rightarrow \pi_{q} S \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \pi_{1} S=0
$$

If $C$ is any compact, connected Lie group and $q>1$, then $\pi_{q} C^{\prime} \cong \pi_{q} C$ by [8, 94.31(c)]. Moreover, $\pi_{1} \Psi \cong \pi_{1} \Phi$ is infinite (because $\Theta$ is a factor of $\Phi$ ), and $\Psi^{\prime}<\Psi$. This excludes the possibility $\Psi^{\prime}=\Pi$. All the relevant homotopy groups of small compact simple Lie groups $C$ can be found in Mimura [4, §3.2]. In particular, $\pi_{5} \mathrm{SU}_{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geqq 3$, all other groups $\pi_{5} C$ and all groups $\pi_{6} C$ are finite.
(17) $\mathbb{1}<\Psi^{\prime}<\Phi^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime} \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Psi^{\prime}+7$.

Proof. If $\Psi^{\prime}=\Phi^{\prime}$, then $\pi_{q} \Psi \cong \pi_{q} \Phi$ in the exact homotopy sequence, and $\pi_{q} S \rightarrow \pi_{q-1} \Psi$ is injective, but $\pi_{7} S \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{6} \Psi$ is finite. Hence $\Psi^{\prime}<\Phi^{\prime}$. If $\Psi^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$, then $\pi_{3} \Phi^{\prime}=0$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$ by $[8,94.36]$. This contradicts the first step of the proof. From $\pi_{1} \Psi \cong \pi_{1} \Phi$ and [8, 94.31(c)] it follows that the torus factors of $\Phi$ and of $\Psi$ have the same dimension. Because $\Phi$ is compact and $\Psi$ is the connected component of $\Phi_{c}$, we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime} / \Psi^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \Phi / \Psi=\operatorname{dim} c^{\Phi}<8$.

The remaining possibilities will be discussed separately. We will need the following lemma:
(18) If $\Phi$ contains a reflection $\sigma$ with center $u$ or axis au, then the elation group $E$ with center $v$ (and axis av) is sharply transitive on $U$, and $E$ is a 6-dimensional Lie group.

Proof. Assume that $\sigma$ has center $u$. Choose $\rho=\sigma^{\eta}$ with $\eta \in \Gamma$ and $u^{\eta} \neq u$. Then $\sigma \rho$ is the elation with axis $a v$ mapping $u$ to $u^{\rho}$. Thus, $\sigma$ is unique and ( $\gamma \mapsto \sigma \sigma^{\gamma}$ ) maps the coset space $\Gamma / \Gamma_{u}$ continuously and injectively into $E$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} E=\operatorname{dim} U=6$. By [8, 96.11(a)], each $E$-orbit in $U$ is open, and $u^{E}=U$ because $U$ is connected.
(19) $\operatorname{dim} \Psi^{\prime} \neq 3$.

Proof. If $\Psi^{\prime}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{2}$, then $\pi_{3} \Phi^{\prime} \cong \pi_{3} \Psi^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $\Phi^{\prime}$ is almost simple by $[8, ~ 94.36]$. The last statement of (17) implies $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime} \leqq 10$. Since $\pi_{5} \Phi^{\prime} \cong \pi_{5} \Psi^{\prime} \cong \pi_{5} S_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is finite, the group $\Phi^{\prime}$ is not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ by the remarks preceding (17). Consequently, $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime}=10$. Because the group $\mathrm{SO}_{5}$ cannot act on any plane $[8,55.40]$, it follows that $\Phi^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{5} \cong \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H}$ is the simply connected covering group of $\mathrm{SO}_{5}$. Again by [8,55.40], the central involution $\sigma \in \Phi^{\prime}$ cannot be planar, and $\sigma$ is a
reflection. If the axis of $\sigma$ is different from $W$, then (18) implies that the elation group $E$ with center $v$ is a 6-dimensional connected Lie group. The group $E$ is not known to be commutative, but $\sigma$ inverts each element of $E$. Therefore, $\Phi^{\prime}$ induces a faithful representation on the Lie algebra $\lfloor E$ of $E$. The list of irreducible representations given in [8, 95.10] shows that $\operatorname{dim} E=8$, a contradiction. Hence $\sigma$ has axis $W$, and $\sigma \in \Theta$.

Consider now the involution $\beta \in \Phi^{\prime}$ corresponding to the element $\operatorname{diag}(1,-1) \in \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{H}$. The centralizer $\Phi^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Cs} \beta$ is a direct product $A \times B$, where $A \cong B \cong \mathrm{SU}_{2}$ and $\beta \in B$. The properties of $U_{2} \mathbb{H}$ show that $\alpha=\beta \sigma$ is the central involution in $A$, and that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are conjugate in $\Phi^{\prime}$. If $\beta$ is a reflection, then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have centers $u$ and $v$ and cannot be conjugate within $\nabla$. Hence $\beta$ is a Baer involution, its fixed elements form an 8 -dimensional subplane $\mathscr{F _ { \beta }}=\mathscr{B}$. Either $B$ induces the identity on $\mathscr{B}$, or $\left.B\right|_{\mathscr{B}} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ (note that $\beta \in B$ ). In the latter case, the fixed elements of $B$ would form a 2 -dimensional subplane $\mathscr{E}$, and $\Theta$ would act as a group of homologies on $\mathscr{E}$, but this is impossible by [ $8,32.17$ or 61.26]. Therefore, $\left.B\right|_{\mathscr{B}}=\mathbb{1}$ and, analogously, $\left.A\right|_{\mathscr{F}_{a}}=\mathbb{1}$. Because $\alpha$ and $\beta$ commute, it follows from $[8,55.32]$ that $\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathscr{B}} \neq \mathbb{1}$ and, hence, that $A$ acts faithfully on $\mathscr{B}$. Consequently, $A \Theta \cong \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathbb{C}$ would induce a 4-dimensional compact group of homologies on $\mathscr{B}$. This contradicts [8, 61.26].

The next case can be treated in the same way:
(20) $\operatorname{dim} \Psi^{\prime} \neq 6$.

Proof. If $\Psi^{\prime}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then $\Phi^{\prime}$ has two almost simple factors by [ $8,94.36]$. With (17) we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime}=13$, and $\Phi^{\prime}$ has a factor $\Xi \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{5}$. As in the last step, the existence of such a group leads to a contradiction.
(21) $\operatorname{dim} \Psi^{\prime} \neq 9$.

Proof. If $\Psi^{\prime}$ is a product of 3 almost simple factors, then so is $\Phi^{\prime}$, again by [8, 94.36]. Because $\Psi^{\prime}<\Phi^{\prime}$, one of the factors of $\Phi^{\prime}$ must have torus rank at least 2 . This implies that $\operatorname{rk} \Phi^{\prime} \geqq 4$ and then $\operatorname{rk} \Theta \Phi^{\prime}>4$. According to [8, 55.37], however, the torus rank can never exceed 4.
(22) $\operatorname{dim} \Psi^{\prime} \neq 8$.

Proof. We argue as in step (19). If $\Psi^{\prime}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$, then $\pi_{3} \Phi^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ is almost simple. From $\pi_{5} \Phi^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $8<\operatorname{dim} \Phi^{\prime} \leqq 15$ we infer that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{4} \mathbb{C} \cong \operatorname{Spin}_{6}$. Because $\mathrm{SO}_{5}$ cannot act on a plane, $\Phi^{\prime}$ is even isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}_{4}$, and its central involution $\sigma$ is a reflection. In fact, $\sigma$ has the axis $W$, or else $\Phi^{\prime}$ would act effectively on the elation group $E$, see (18). The involution $\beta$ corresponding to diag $(1,1,-1,-1) \in \mathrm{SU}_{4}$ fixes a Baer subplane $\mathscr{B}$ because it commutes with 5 conjugates, see [8, 55.35]. The centralizer of $\beta$ contains a direct product $A \times B$, where $A \cong B \cong \mathrm{SU}_{2}$ and $\beta \in B$. Exactly as in (19), it follows that $\Theta A$ induces on $\mathscr{B}$ a compact, 4-dimensional group of homologies with axis $W \cap \mathscr{B}$. This final contradiction completes the proof of Theorem T.
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